Working Group 7 introduction, theory/fitting aspects ${}^{3}\text{He}(\alpha, \gamma)^{7}\text{Be}$ and ${}^{3}\text{He}({}^{3}\text{He}, 2p)^{4}\text{He}$

> Kenneth Nollett San Diego State University

Solar Fusion Cross Sections III Berkeley 25 July 2022 How S_{34} theory was handled before

Solar Fusion I:

Tombrello & Parker 1963 model



Each data set used to fit an overall rescaling of theory curve

Then *S*(0) values averaged – discrepancy between prompt & activity?

Mistook 2.8 fm hard sphere radius that describes scattering as a good small-radius cutoff

Overestimated s/d-wave ratio, flat at higher E

Remembrance of evaluations past

How S_{34} theory was handled before

Solar Fusion II:

Kajino 1986 & Nollett 2001 models



Similar fitting procedure (but parameterizing models was bad)

This time models were more physical

Models with even a little microscopic content cut off short-range s-wave capture at \gtrsim 5 fm

Nice demonstration of that in Neff 2011 calculation

Substantially same results for Kajino/Nollett/Neff (cf. Iliadis et al. 2016)

Theory error:

Spread among RGM models + Snover/Nollett negotiation

Theory work since Solar Fusion II

Additional *ab initio* models:

Neff 2011, Dohet-Eraly 2016 (Vorabbi 2019)

RGM models:

Solovyev 2017, 2019 (looks unconverged at low energy -S(E) flattens)

Potential models:

Tursunov 2018, 2021, Dubovichenko 2019

Halo EFT:

Higa 2018, Premarathna 2020, Zhang 2020

Fit/extrapolation work since Solar Fusion II

Model rescaling:

Iliadis 2016 (Bayesian) Tursunov 2018, 2021, Dubovichenko 2019, Kiss 2020 (potential model, range of parameters)

R-matrix:

deBoer 2014 (frequentist), Odell 2022 (Bayesian)

Halo EFT:

Higa 2018, Premarathna 2020, Zhang 2020 (Bayesian, \sim 6 parameters + floated norms)

$^{3}\text{He}(\alpha,\gamma)^{7}\text{Be}$ questions to be answered

Previous Solar Fusion:

- Very conservative
- Only capture data
- Only direct data
- Avoid correlations between datasets
- One model is a fixed shape
- Two models estimate theory error
- No new or complicated methods

Choices to make:

- Model with more adjustable parameters? (*R*-matrix? Halo EFT? Potential model?)
- Use scattering constraints directly? As test of models? Not at all?
- Fit multiple ways & compare?
- ANC on same footing as capture data? (Need to use in consistent model)
- How to improve theory error estimate? (More models? Adjustable-parameter models?)

Worth noticing: S' and S'' are different things for model derivatives at E = 0 & for fits over some range

Remembrance of evaluations past

Several old RGM models of 3 He(3 He, 2p) 4 He exist (reviewed in SF I, not much action since)

All kind of incomplete, but all predict very gentle S(E), so polynomial fit isn't completely stupid

Model used for Solar Fusion I/II is $S(E) = S(0) + S'(0)E + \frac{1}{2}S''(0)E^2$, plus $\exp(\pi \eta U_e/E)$ lab screening

SFI assumed $U_e = 240 \text{ eV}$

SFII fit $U_e = 305 \pm 90$ eV simultaneously with polynomial

Iliadis 2016: Bayesian fit to similar model with floating norms & possible "outlier" status, $U_e = 325^{+47}_{-48}$ eV (different slope from different *E* range)

Whither 3 He(3 He, 2p) 4 He?

There are no new data to fit, & I didn't find any new theory developments

Main question is then: Can we improve on procedure? If yes, is there a consensus on how?

Not obvious to me how correlated errors were handled in SF II

Remove single-event data á la lliadis 2016?

Anything we should recommend?

(Revisit theory? Inertial confinement for different screening?)



SLIDES FROM PRELIMINARY ZOOM MEETING



New ab initio:

Neff (2011); Dohet-Eraly (2016); Vorabbi (2019)

New microsopic/RGM:

Solovyev (2017); Solovyev (2019)

New potential model:

Tursunov (2018); Dubovichenko (2019); Tursunov (2021)

Halo EFT, R-matrix, Bayesian, etc.:

Higa (2018); Premarathna (2020); Zhang (2020); Odell (2022); deBoer (2014); Iliadis (2016); [Poudel (2022)]

³He(α, γ)⁷Be: Issues for fitting

Model selection (feasible to fit multiparameter model?) SF I&II just rescaled theory models Scaling found for each data set, then averaged Understanding of model determines *E* range of fit

(How) should ab initio inputs be used?

Role of scattering data?

"Must be this tall" test?

Needs a formalism that can use them

Barnard data appear to have problems (at least in error quantification)



Personal prejudice: I would like to see see a less crude approach, and better quantification of theory error, this time



3 He(3 He, $^{2}p)^{4}$ He

Last time: Fitted S(0), S', S'', U_{eff}

Only models are Typel (1991) RGM

New theory & fitting:

Nesterov (2010) 3-cluster RGM; Iliadis (2016) (adding 5 floating norms); anything else?



³He(³He, 2p)⁴He: Issues for fitting

Any progress on lab screening?

Should we aim to encourage ICF experiments?

