



### **Status of the LISA Radiation Monitor**

**D. Guberman**, R. Català, L. Di Venere, A. Espiña, F. Gargano, F. Licciulli, P. Loizzo, L. Martí, J. Mauricio, M. Orta, D. Roma, A. Sanuy, D. Serini, M. Nofrarias

LISA Spain Meeting 2024

dguberman@icc.ub.edu

Institute of Cosmos Sciences Universitat de Barcelona

#### 15/10/2024





- Why a Radiation Monitor?
- Radiation Monitor design + 1<sup>st</sup> prototype
- Preliminary performance
- The Radiation Monitor beyond LISA



2

## Why a Radiation Monitor?

#### **GOAL:** Monitor the *charging background* of the LISA Test Masses (TMs)

- Background radiation can interact with the spacecraft, producing secondary particles
- The Test Masses (TMs) may get charged  $\rightarrow$  acceleration noise

What a Radiation Monitor can do:

- Help optimizing our understanding the TM *charging nature* by:
  - $\rightarrow$  Measuring the background radiation flux
  - $\rightarrow$  Which could be use as input for TM charging simulations
  - $\rightarrow$  And compared with the measurements of the TM charging rate
- Provide a veto for fake GW triggers
- Hopefuly some other stuff beyond looking after the TMs...



3

Grimani et al., 2024, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jheap.2024.03.004



# The LISA (expected) background flux

### Galactic Cosmic rays (GCRs)

- Steady isotropic source of background with slow and dim flux variations:
  - $\rightarrow$  Solar cycle variations (an order of magnitude in  $\sim$ 11 yr)
  - $\rightarrow$  Short-term variations (~10% in ~ days)

#### Solar Energetic Particles (SEPs)

- Sporadic, fast evolving, orders of magnitude more intense than CRs
  - $\rightarrow$  Relevant ones  $\sim$  1/yr (order of magnitude)
  - $\rightarrow$  Timescales: seconds to days
- ~90% of the particles interacting with the S/C will be protons
- Only those with E>100 MeV affect the TMs
  - $\rightarrow$  Several dedicated simulation studies (e.g., Araujo et al., Grimani et al...)





### **RM Requirements**

Scientific Requirements:

- TM-driven: should detect p<sup>+</sup> at 0.1–10 GeV
- ~1 % statistical error in the integral cosmic-ray flux >70 MeV in ~1 hour
- Moderate energy resolution at a few hundred MeVs for spectrum reconstruction

Technical Requirements:

- Volume ~ 1I
- Mass < 1.5 kg
- Power consumption < 2W



5

# LISA Radiation Monitor: design overview



- Plastic scintillators + SiPMs for particle detection
- W absorbers to "slow down" high-energy protons
- Cu pieces as Low Energy shield for p<sup>+</sup><70 MeV</li>
- SiPM signals are processed and digitized with the BETA ASIC
- FPGA controls the trigger and reduces the digitized data
  - Maximum trigger rate ~ 10 kHz
  - Different trigger levels to process individual and coincidence events



- Radiation Monitor: D. Mazzanti, et al., https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.1494
- BETA ASIC: A. Sanmukh et al., https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-024-01419-z

#### 15/10/2024



## **Preliminary performance**

#### Simulated and optimized the system with Geant4 simulations

- By now we defined 6 integral energy channels
- Expected rates are a few times larger than those of the LPF RM
- Potential to identify alpha particles







### HECTOR: A CubeSat demonstrator of the LISA RM

### High-Energy particle deteCTOR (HECTOR)

(a.k.a ILIADA RM) Proof-of-concept prototype of the LISA RM, will fly in a *SmallSat* as part of the ILIADA consortium (see talk by M. Nofrarias):

"Like the LISA Radiation Monitor, but without the last absorber-scintillator pair"

- GOALS:
  - Testing the technology and analysis algorithms
  - Compare with expectations from simulations
  - ILIADA scientific case
- Expected launch: beginning of 2026





Irish Imperial Pint

RM images: R. Català

15/10/2024

D. Guberman - LISA Spain Meeting 2024

Wikipedia

## A tight schedule, a huge team effort

#### HECTOR TIMELINE

#### April 2024

ILIADA is approved

#### June, 2024

Test-beam characterization

#### October, 2024

We are here!

December 2024 Integration in ILIADA

- Mechanic design
- Electronics
- Software and Firmware
- Simulations
- Prototyping
- Characterization

Special thanks to R. Català, A. Espiña, P. Loizzo, M. Orta, M. Pagès, J. Salvans, A. Sanuy and D. Serini!











#### 15/10/2024

## HECTOR 1<sup>st</sup> prototype

Semi-Flex SiPM PCB

scintillators

Cu shield <









# **Test-beam preliminary results**

- Test Beam at CNAO (Pavia, Italy) performed on June 2024
- p<sup>+</sup> beam: ~60 to ~230 MeV
- Beam intensity tunned to achieve a trigger rate of  $\sim 100 \mbox{ Hz}$
- Test-beam prototype had Cu absorber blocks (instead of W)







## Test beam preliminary results

Scintillator output distributions



**Coincidence channels trigger rate** 



**Left:** Distributions of the signal collected by the SiPMs of each sicntillator for different incident proton energies. **Right:** Normalized detection rate in the coincidence channels  $C_1$  ( $S_1$ - $S_2$ ) and  $C_2$  ( $S_1$ - $S_2$ - $S_3$ )



## And beyond...

- We have 3 identical particle detectors...
- ~ 8 s away from each other (angular resolution!)
- Located in an unexplored region of space
- Sensitive to an energy band that is not typically accesible by other radiation monitors
- + the information of high-efficient magnetometers



sci.esa.int

→ Good opportunity to study the high-energy component of SEPs



## **Radiation Monitor and GRBs?**



Journal of High Energy Astrophysics Volume 42, June 2024, Pages 38-51



### LISA and LISA-like mission test-mass charging for gamma-ray burst detection

Catia Grimani <sup>a b</sup> 2 🛛 , Mattia Villani <sup>a b</sup>, Michele Fabi <sup>a b</sup>, Federico Sabbatini <sup>a b</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Via Santa Chiara, 27, Urbino (PU), 61029, Italy
- <sup>b</sup> National Institute for Nuclear Physics (INFN), Section in Florence, Via B. Rossi 1, Florence, 50019, Italy

Received 29 January 2024, Revised 18 March 2024, Accepted 30 March 2024, Available online 2 April 2024, Version of Record 8 April 2024.

C. Grimani, et al., https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jheap.2024.03.004

- Thanks to the W absorbers, the RM should be sensitive to extreme (and rare) GRBs like GRB221009
- Unlikely, but LISA has a long lifetime and more LISA-like missions are expected to follow....
- Towards future missions: scintillators + SiPMs offer compactness and flexibility for the design. Room for compact GRB detectors?



Simulated differential count rates in  $S_2$  (dashed) for two GRB spectra (solid), when gamma rays arrive from the side of the RM. In red, the RM effective area of  $S_2$  for gamma rays arriving form the side.



#### 15/10/2024

## Summary

- We have a mature design and its preliminary performance is whitin requirements
- We built a 1<sup>st</sup> prototype that was characterized in a test beam, showing good afreement with simulations.
- By the end of the year we will have completed HECTOR, the CubeSat prototype with which we will test the proposed technology, analysis methods, etc.
- The Radiation Monitors and scintillation-based detectors can open new opportunities beyond LISA







**Radiation Monitor:** D. Mazzanti, et al., https://doi.org/10.22323/1.444.1494 **BETA ASIC:** A. Sanmukh et al., https://doi.org/10.1007/s41365-024-01419-z

